arXiv:0904.0966v2 [math.PR] 19 Apr 2010

EXACT TAIL ASYMPTOTICS IN BIVARIATE SCALE MIXTURE MODELS

ENKELEJD HASHORVA

Abstract. Let $(X,Y)=(RU_1,RU_2)$ be a given bivariate scale mixture random vector, with $R>0$ being independent of the bivariate random vector (U_1,U_2). In this paper we derive exact asymptotic expansions of the joint survivor probability of (X,Y) assuming that R has distribution function in the Gumbel max-domain of attraction and (U_1,U_2) has a specific tail behaviour around some absorbing point. We apply our results to investigate the asymptotic behaviour of joint conditional excess distribution and the asymptotic independence for two models of bivariate scale mixture distributions. Furthermore for our models we derive an expression of the residual dependence index η.

1. Introduction

Let (X,Y) be a bivariate random vector with stochastic representation

$$(X,Y)\stackrel{d}{=}(RU_1,RU_2), \tag{1}$$

where $R>0$ is independent of the bivariate random vector (U_1,U_2) ($\stackrel{d}{=}$ stands for equality of distribution functions). The random vector (X,Y) has a scale mixture distribution; a canonical example of such (X,Y) is a bivariate spherical random vector with rotational invariant distribution function with (U_1,U_2) uniformly distributed on the unit circle of $\mathbb{R}^2$. In this model (see Cambanis et al. (1981)) the dependence between U_1 and U_2 is a functional one, namely $U_1^2+U_2^2=1$ almost surely, and

$$(U_1,U_2)\quad\stackrel{d}{=}\quad(I_1W,I_2\sqrt{1-W^2}), \tag{2}$$

with $W\in(0,1),I_1,I_2\in\{-1,1\}$ almost surely, W^2 beta distributed with parameters $1/2,1/2$ and $\boldsymbol{P}\{I_1=1\}=\boldsymbol{P}\{I_2=1\}=1/2$. Furthermore, I_1,I_2,R,W are mutually independent.

If R is such that R^2 is Chi-squared distributed with two degrees of freedom, then X and Y are independent Gaussian random variables with mean zero and variance 1.

Our main interest in this paper is the tail asymptotics of the joint survivor function of (X,Y). For Gaussian random vectors the asymptotics of the joint survivor probability is well-known, see e.g., Berman (1962), Dai and Mukherjea (2001), Hashorva (2005), or Lu and Li (2009). Results for elliptical and Dirichlet random vectors are obtained in Hashorva (2007, 2008, 2009c) and Manner and Segers (2009). Note that the elliptical model is derived by extending (2) to

$$(U_1,U_2)\quad\stackrel{d}{=}\quad(I_1W,I_1\rho W+I_2\rho_*\sqrt{1-W^2}),\quad\rho\in(-1,1),\quad\rho_*:=\sqrt{1-\rho^2}, \tag{3}$$

where the additional parameter ρ corresponds to correlation coefficient of X and Y if R^2 is Chi-squared distributed. Hashorva (2007) generalises the known asymptotic results for Gaussian random vectors to the more general class of elliptical ones by exploiting the fact that the asymptotics of the joint survivor probability is primarily determined by the asymptotic properties of the survivor function $\overline{F}:=1-F$ of the associated random radius R.

Specifically, in the aforementioned paper the principal asymptotic assumption is that F is in the Gumbel max-domain

---

Date: April 20, 2010.

Key words and phrases. Tail asymptotics; Conditional excess distributions; Gumbel max-domain of attraction; Elliptical distributions; Dirichlet distributions; Residual tail dependence index.

of attraction, which means that for some positive scaling function w

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{\overline{F}(x+t/w(x))}{\overline{F}(x)} \quad = \quad \exp(-t), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{4}$$

As shown in Hashorva (2007) condition (4) is crucial when (X,Y) is an elliptical random vector with stochastic representation (1). More specifically, by the aforementioned paper for any $a \in (\rho, 1]$

$$\boldsymbol{P}\{X > x, Y > ax\} \quad \sim \quad \frac{a_\rho^2 \rho_*^3}{2\pi(1-a\rho)(a-\rho)} \frac{1}{v(a_\rho x)} \overline{F}(a_\rho x), \tag{5}$$

where

$$a_\rho \quad := \quad \rho_*^{-1}\sqrt{1-2a\rho+a^2} > 1, \quad v(x) := xw(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{6}$$

Throughout this paper $f(x) \sim g(x)$ means $\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x)/g(x) = 1$, and (4) is abbreviated by $F \in GMDA(w)$.

If W^2 is beta distributed with positive parameters α, β (its distribution function is denoted by $beta(\alpha,\beta)$), then (X,Y) is a generalised Dirichlet random vector. Hashorva (2009c) extends (5) for the class of Dirichlet random vectors. As indicated in Hashorva (2009b) for certain asymptotic problems the distributional properties of (U_1, U_2) do not need to be explicitly known. A natural question that arises concerning the asymptotics of the joint survival probability of X, Y is that if $F \in GMDA(w)$ what models for the dependence between (U_1, U_2) would lead us to asymptotic results similar to (5)?

In this paper we answer the above question for two specific models: The first one is refereed to as the unconstrained dependence model, or simply Model A. In that model we assume that $U_1 \in (0,1]$ almost surely, and further impose an asymptotic assumption on the behaviour of the (U_1, U_2) around some absorbing point $(1, a)$ (see (9) below).

The second model (or simply Model B) motivated by (3) is referred to as the functional dependence model. More specifically we assume the stochastic representation

$$(U_1, U_2) \quad \overset{d}{=} \quad (I_1 W, \rho I_1 W + I_2 z^*(W)), \quad \rho \in (-1,1), \tag{7}$$

with z^* some positive measurable function, $W \in (0,1), I_1, I_2 \in \{-1,1\}$ almost surely, and I_1, I_2, W are mutually independent.

We present three applications of our results: The first one establishes an asymptotic approximation of the joint conditional excess distribution. In the second application we discuss the Gumbel max-domain of attraction of bivariate distributions related to our Model B. In our last application we derive an explicit expression of the residual tail dependence index η for bivariate scale mixture random vectors extending a recent result of Hashorva (2010) for elliptical random vector.

Organisation of the paper: In the next section we state our first result dealing with some general scale mixture bivariate random vectors which fall under Model A. We introduce in Section 3 some constrains on the dependence function of (U_1, U_2) via (7), and then investigate the tail asymptotics of interest for Model B showing a generalisation of (5) in Proposition 3. Three applications of our results are given in Section 5. Proofs of all the results are relegated to Section 6.

2. Tail Asymptotics Under Unconstrained Dependence

Consider a bivariate scale mixture random vector $(X,Y) = (RU_1, RU_2)$, where R has distribution function F (denote this $R \simeq F$) satisfying (4) with some positive scaling function w. We assume throughout this paper that F has an infinite upper endpoint. Hence by (4) (see e.g., Resnick (2008))

$$v(x) := xw(x) \quad \to \quad \infty, \quad x \to \infty. \tag{8}$$

Given a constant $a \in (0,1]$ we investigate the asymptotics of

$$p_{a,\delta,\eta;x} := \boldsymbol{P}\{X > x[1+\delta/v(x)], Y > ax[1+\eta/v(x)]\}, \quad x \to \infty,$$

for any $\delta, \eta \in [0, \infty)$. The reason for dealing with the asymptotics of $p_{a,\delta,\eta;x}$ is our interest concerning the approximation and estimation of the joint conditional excess distribution, see the first application in Section 4.
Throughout in the sequel we assume that U_1 is a bounded random variable. Without loss of generality we consider only the case U_1 has distribution function with upper endpoint equal 1. This implies that $p_{a,\delta,\eta;x} \le \overline{F}(x)$ for any x positive. For both Model A and B we show below that this upper bound is too crude; roughly speaking we have the asymptotic behaviour

$$p_{a,\delta,\eta;x} \sim \psi(x)\overline{F}(x),$$

with ψ some positive function decaying polynomially fast to 0 as $x \to \infty$.

In addition to the Gumbel max-domain of attraction assumption, we impose next a certain asymptotic behaviour of (U_1, U_2) around $(1, a)$, namely

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{\boldsymbol{P}\{U_1 > 1-(s-\delta)/x, U_2 > a(1-(s-\eta)/x)\}}{\boldsymbol{P}\{U_a > 1-1/x\}} = \xi_a(s, \delta, \eta), \quad \forall \delta, \eta \in [0, \infty), \forall s \in (0, \infty), \tag{9}$$

with ξ_a a positive measurable function and $U_a := \min(U_1, U_2/a)$. If $\delta \ge \eta$, then

$$\xi_a(s, \delta, \eta) = \xi_a(s, \delta-\eta, 0), \quad \xi_a(s, \eta, \delta) = \xi_a(s, 0, \delta-\eta), \quad \forall s \in (0, \infty).$$

Further, for $\delta = \eta = 0$

$$\boldsymbol{P}\{U_a > 1-s\} = s^{\gamma} L_a(s), \quad \forall s > 0 \tag{10}$$

holds for some $\gamma \in [0, \infty)$, with L_a a positive measurable function such that $\lim_{s\downarrow 0} L_a(s)/L_a(ts) = 1, \forall t > 0$, i.e., L_a is a slowly varying function; see Bingham et al. (1987), Embrechts et al. (1997), Falk et al. (2004), De Haan and Ferreira (2006), Jessen and Mikosch (2006), Resnick (2008), or Omey and Segers (2009) for more details on regularly varying functions.

Next, we formulate our first result.

Proposition 1. *Let $(X, Y) = (RU_1, RU_2)$ be a bivariate scale mixture random vector with $R \simeq F$ a positive random variable being independent of (U_1, U_2). Suppose that F has an infinite upper endpoint satisfying* (4) *with some positive scaling function w, and $U_1 \in (0, 1]$ has distribution function with upper endpoint 1. If $a \in (0, 1]$ is such that* (9) *holds, then for any $\delta, \eta \in [0, \infty)$ we have*

$$p_{a,\delta,\eta;x} \sim J_{\delta,\eta} L_a(1/v(x)) \frac{\overline{F}(x)}{(v(x))^{-\gamma}}, \tag{11}$$

with L_a satisfying (10) *and*

$$J_{\delta,\eta} := \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \xi_a(s, \delta, \eta) \exp(-s)\, ds \in (0, \infty).$$

Remarks: (a) In view of Lemma 6.1 in Hashorva (2009b) for any $\lambda \in (1, \infty), c \in \mathbb{R}$ and F as in Proposition 1 we have

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{(v(x))^c \overline{F}(\lambda x)}{\overline{F}(x)} = 0. \tag{12}$$

In fact (12) follows directly from Proposition 1.1 in Davis and Resnick (1988), see also Embrechts et al. (1997) p. 586, and **A1.** in Hashorva (2009c).

Further we have the self-neglecting property of w, i.e.,

$$\frac{w(x + t/w(x))}{w(x)} \sim 1 \tag{13}$$

holds locally uniformly for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Refer to Galambos (1987), Reiss (1989), Embrechts et al. (1997), Falk et al. (2004), de Haan and Ferreira (2006), or Resnick (2008) for details on the Gumbel max-domain of attraction.
(b) Under the assumptions of Proposition 1 it follows that

$$p_{a,\delta,\eta;x} \sim \overline{H}(x), \tag{14}$$

where H is the distribution function of RW_a with W_a a positive random variable independent of R such that

$$\boldsymbol{P}\{W_a > 1-s\} = J_{\delta,\eta} L_a(s) s^{\gamma}, \quad s \in (0,1).$$

See also Example 1 below. Furthermore (11) holds locally uniformly in η, δ.

(c) Since for $\delta = \eta = 0$ (10) holds, then $J_{0,0} = \Gamma(\gamma+1)$. By the monotonicity of $J_{\delta,\eta}$ in δ, η we obtain

$$J_{\delta,\eta} \le \Gamma(\gamma+1), \quad \forall \delta, \eta > 0.$$

(d) By (4), (11) and (13) it follows that

$$J_{\delta,\eta} = \exp(-\delta) J_{0,\eta-\delta}, \quad \forall \eta \in [0, \delta],$$

which follows also directly by the definition on $J_{\delta,\eta}$ and (9).

We present next three illustrating examples.

Example 1. Let U_1, U_2 be two random variables taking values in $[0,1]$ such that $U_2 \ge U_1$ almost surely. Suppose that $\boldsymbol{P}\{U_1 > 1-s\} = s^{\gamma} L(s), s \in (0,1)$ with $\gamma \in [0,\infty)$ and L a slowly varying function at 0. Since for any $x > 1$

$$\boldsymbol{P}\{U_1 > 1-1/x, U_2 > 1-1/x\} = \boldsymbol{P}\{U_1 > 1-1/x\}$$

if R is independent of (U_1, U_2) satisfying the assumptions of Proposition 1 we obtain

$$\boldsymbol{P}\{RU_1 > x\} = \boldsymbol{P}\{RU_1 > x, RU_2 > x\} \quad \sim \quad \Gamma(\gamma+1) L(1/v(x)) \frac{\overline{F}(x)}{(v(x))^{\gamma}}. \tag{15}$$

We note that for $U_1^2 \simeq beta(\alpha, \beta)$ the asymptotics in (15) is shown in Berman (1983), see also Berman (1992). For the more general case that U_1 has a regularly varying survivor function see Theorem 3.1 in Hashorva et al. (2009).

Example 2. (Linear Combinations) Let $S_i \simeq G_i, i = 1,2$ be two independent random variables with values in $[0,1]$ such that

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{\overline{G}_i(1-s/x)}{\overline{G}_i(1-1/x)} \quad = \quad \gamma_i, \quad \forall s > 0, i = 1,2, \tag{16}$$

with $\gamma_i \in [0,\infty)$. Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in (0,1)$ be given constants with $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2$, and set

$$U_i := \lambda_i S_1 + \overline{\lambda_i} S_2, \quad \overline{\lambda}_i := 1 - \lambda_i, \quad i = 1,2.$$

By the definition both U_1, U_2 have upper endpoint 1. For any $\delta, \eta \ge 0$ we have (the proof is postponed to the last section)

$$\boldsymbol{P}\{U_1 > 1-(s-\delta)/x, U_2 > 1-(s-\eta)/x\} \quad = \quad \tilde{\xi}(s,\delta,\eta) \prod_{i=1}^{2} \overline{G}_i(1-1/x), \quad s > \max(\delta,\eta), \tag{17}$$

with

$$\tilde{\xi}(s,\delta,\eta) := \gamma_2 \int_0^{\infty} \Big(\max\Big(0, \min([s-\delta-\overline{\lambda_1}z]/\lambda_1, [s-\eta-\overline{\lambda_2}z]/\lambda_2)\Big)\Big)^{\gamma_1} z^{\gamma_2-1}\, dz.$$

Note that for $s \in (0, \max(\delta,\eta)]$ (17) holds with $\tilde{\xi}(s,\delta,\eta) = 0$, and when $\delta = \eta = 0$

$$\tilde{\xi}(s,0,0) \quad \sim \quad C_{\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\lambda_1,\lambda_2} s^{\gamma_1+\gamma_2}, \tag{18}$$

with $C_{\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\lambda_1,\lambda_2} \in (0,\infty)$ given by

$$C_{\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\lambda_1,\lambda_2} := \lambda_1^{-\gamma_1} \int_0^1 [1-\overline{\lambda_1}t]^{\gamma_1} t^{\gamma_2-1}\, dt + \lambda_2^{-\gamma_1} \int_1^{1/\overline{\lambda}_2} [1-\overline{\lambda_2}t]^{\gamma_1} t^{\gamma_2-1}\, dt.$$

Consequently, (9) holds with

$$\xi_1(s,\delta,\eta) := C_{\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\lambda_1,\lambda_2} \tilde{\xi}(s,\delta,\eta) s^{-\gamma_1-\gamma_2} \mathbf{1}_{(s>\max(\delta,\eta))}, \quad s > 0,$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{()}$ is the indicator function. Thus with $R \simeq F$ such that $F \in GMDA(w)$ the result of Proposition 1 holds.

Example 3. (Farlie-Gumbel-Morgenstern Dependence) Let $U_i \simeq G_i, i = 1, 2$ be two random variables with values in $[0, 1]$. Suppose that for some $K \in [0, 1)$

$$\boldsymbol{P}\{U_1 > x, U_2 > y\} = \overline{G}_1(x)\overline{G}_2(y)[1 + K\overline{G}_1(x)\overline{G}_2(y)], \quad \forall x, y \in [0, 1].$$

The bivariate random vector (U_1, U_2) possesses thus the Farlie-Gumbel-Morgenstern distribution (see for more details Hashorva and Hüsler (1999)). If (16) holds, then for any $\delta, \eta, s \in (0, \infty)$ we obtain

$$\boldsymbol{P}\{U_1 > 1 - (s - \delta)/x, U_2 > 1 - (s - \eta)/x\} \sim (s - \delta)_+^{\gamma_1}(s - \eta)_+^{\gamma_2} \prod_{i=1}^{2} \overline{G}_i(1 - 1/x),$$

with $(x)_+ := \max(x, 0), x \in \mathbb{R}$. Consequently, if the positive random variable $R \simeq F$ is independent of (U_1, U_2) and $F \in GMDA(w)$, then locally uniformly in δ, η

$$p_{a,\delta,\eta;x} \quad \sim \quad \int_0^\infty (t - \delta)_+^{\gamma_1}(t - \eta)_+^{\gamma_2} \exp(-t)\, dt \prod_{i=1}^{2} \overline{G}_i(1 - 1/v(x))\overline{F}(x). \tag{19}$$

For any $a \in (0, 1)$ we observe another asymptotic behaviour, namely

$$\boldsymbol{P}\{U_1 > 1 - (s - \delta)/x, U_2 > a(1 - (s - \eta)/x)\} \sim (s - \delta)_+^{\gamma_1}\overline{G}_1(1 - 1/x)\overline{G}_2(a).$$

Consequently,

$$p_{a,\delta,\eta;x} \quad \sim \quad \overline{G}_2(a)\Gamma(\gamma_1 + 1) \exp(-\delta)\overline{G}_1(1 - 1/v(x))\overline{F}(x).$$

3. Tail Asymptotics For Functional Dependence

In this section we deal with bivariate scale mixture random vectors assuming that the dependence between the components is determined by some deterministic function. Explicitly, let (X, Y) be a bivariate random vector with stochastic representation

$$(X, Y) \quad \stackrel{d}{=} \quad (RI_1W, \rho RI_1W + RI_2z^*(W)), \quad \rho \in (-1, 1), \tag{20}$$

with $(I_1, I_2), R > 0, W \in (0, 1)$ mutually independent, and $z^* : [0, 1] \to [0, 1]$ a positive measurable function.
We assume that the distribution function F of R has an infinite upper point, and that of W has upper endpoint equal 1. In the sequel I_1, I_2 take values in $\{-1, 1\}$ with $\boldsymbol{P}\{I_1 = I_2 = 1\} \in (0, 1]$. We allow I_1 and I_2 to be independent.
The random vector (X, Y) is a scale mixture random vector for which the dependence of the components is being determined by ρ, z^* and the random variables $R, W, I_i, i = 1, 2$. We refer to the implied dependence of the components as the functional dependence. Note in passing that if

$$W^2 \simeq beta(1/2, 1/2), \quad z^*(x) = \sqrt{1 - x^2}, \quad x \in [0, 1],$$

and I_1, I_2 are independent assuming values $-1, 1$ with equal probability, then (X, Y) is an elliptical random vector.

Generally speaking, under the setup of (20) it turns out that the local asymptotics of the density function of W is important. More precisely, we are able to provide an asymptotic expansion of

$$p_{a;x} := p_{a,0,0;x} = \boldsymbol{P}\{X > x, Y > ax\}, \quad a \in (0, 1], x > 0$$

requiring further that

$$\boldsymbol{P}\{W - 1/a_\rho \in (K_1u, K_2u)\} \quad \sim \quad L_{K_1,K_2}(u)u^{\gamma_a}, \quad \gamma_a \in [0, \infty) \tag{21}$$

holds for all $u > 0$ small with $K_1 < K_2, K_1, K_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ some given constants such that $L_{K_1,K_2}(u), u > 0$ is a locally bounded slowly varying function at 0. Additionally we need to impose a local asymptotic condition on the inverse of the transformation z (see below (22)).

We state first the result for $p_{a;x}$.

Proposition 2. *Let $(X,Y), \rho \in (-1,1)$ be a bivariate random vector with stochastic representation (20), where $z^* : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ is positive measurable function, $R \simeq F$, and let $a \in (0,1]$ be a given constant. Suppose that there exists $a_\rho \in (1, a/|\rho|)$ and for some $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ the function $z(x) := \rho x + z^*(x), x \in [0,1]$ is decreasing in $V_\varepsilon := [1/a_\rho - \varepsilon, 1/a_\rho + \varepsilon]$ and $z(x) \le a/a_\rho, \forall x \in (1/a_\rho, 1]$. Suppose that the inverse z_ε of z in V_ε satisfies*

$$z_\varepsilon(a/a_\rho - d/x) - 1/a_\rho \quad \sim \quad \frac{cd}{x} \tag{22}$$

locally uniformly for $d > 0$ with $c \in (0,\infty)$. If further $F \in GMDA(w)$ and (21) is satisfied with $K_1 := -1/a_\rho, K_2 := ca/a_\rho$, then a_ρ is unique and

$$p_{a;x} \quad \sim \quad \boldsymbol{P}\{I_1 = I_2 = 1\}\Gamma(\gamma_a + 1) L_{K_1,K_2}(1/v(x_*)) \frac{\overline{F}(x_*)}{(v(x_*))^{\gamma_a}}, \tag{23}$$

where $x_* := a_\rho x, v(x) := xw(x), x > 0$.

Remarks: (a) If the random variable W appearing in the stochastic representation (20) possesses a positive density function h continuous at $1/a_\rho$, then under the assumptions of Proposition 2 the asymptotics in (21) holds for any $K_1 < K_2$ with $\gamma_a = 1$ and

$$L_{K_1,K_2}(u) = (K_2 - K_1) h(1/a_\rho), \quad u > 0. \tag{24}$$

(b) In view of (12) the tail asymptotics of $p_{a;x}$ given by (23) is faster than $\overline{F}(x)$. In fact for any constant $\mu > 0$ we have

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{p_{a;x}}{(v(x))^\mu \overline{F}(x)} \quad = \quad 0.$$

Recall that the assumption $F \in GMDA(w)$ implies $\lim_{x\to\infty} xw(x) = \infty$.

c) As it can be seen from the proof of Proposition 2 the local behaviour of z at $1/a_\rho$ is crucial. Since we assume that z is a decreasing function in V_ε the asymptotic of z in $(1/a_\rho, 1/a_\rho + \varepsilon)$ is controlled by the asymptotic relation (22). Another possibility for z is to assume that it is increasing in $(1/a_\rho - \varepsilon)$ and decreasing in $(1/a_\rho - \varepsilon)$ so that $1/a_\rho$ is a locally maximum for z. In this case we can still find the asymptotics of $p_{a;x}$, provided that additionally we assume that z_ε^- and z_ε^+ are the inverses of z in $(1/a_\rho - \varepsilon, 1/a_\rho)$ and $(1/a_\rho, 1/a_\rho + \varepsilon)$, respectively such that

$$z_\varepsilon^-(a/a_\rho - 1/x) - 1/a_\rho \sim -\frac{c_-}{x}, \quad z_\varepsilon^+(a/a_\rho - 1/x) - 1/a_\rho \sim \frac{c_+}{x}, \quad c_-, c_+ \in (0,\infty)$$

locally uniformly in $x > 0$.

In order to approximate the joint conditional excess distribution we need an asymptotic approximation as in the previous section of $p_{a,\delta,\eta;x}$. In the setting of Model B we can approximate another quantity, namely $p_{a,\delta,\eta,\rho;x}$ defined by

$$p_{a,\delta,\eta,\rho;x} := \boldsymbol{P}\{X > x[1 + \delta/v(a_\rho x)], Y > ax[1 + \eta/v(a_\rho x)]\}, \quad x \to \infty$$

with $\delta, \eta \in [0,\infty)$ and a_ρ as above. Note that the difference to $p_{a,\delta,\eta;x}$ is that above we employ the normalisation function $v(a_\rho x)$ and not $v(x) = xw(x)$. From the application point of view considering $p_{a,\delta,\eta,\rho;x}$ and not $p_{a,\delta,\eta;x}$ is no restriction since the interest is to be able to approximate the joint conditional excess function utilising some normalisation function. However, estimating $v(a_\rho x)$ leads to complication since also $\boldsymbol{a}_\rho$ need to be estimated.

Proposition 3. *Under the assumptions and notation of Proposition 2 if F has a density function f continuous at $1/a_\rho$, then a_ρ is unique and if further*

$$z_\varepsilon(a/a_\rho + d/x) - 1/a_\rho \quad \sim \quad -\frac{cd}{x} \tag{25}$$

locally uniformly for $d > 0$, then for any $\eta, \delta \in [0,\infty)$ we have

$$p_{a,\delta,\eta,\rho;x} \quad \sim \quad \boldsymbol{P}\{I_1 = I_2 = 1\} \frac{h(1/a_\rho)}{a_\rho}(ca+1)\exp\Big(-\frac{ca\eta + \delta}{ca+1}\Big)\frac{\overline{F}(x_*)}{v(x_*)}, \tag{26}$$

locally uniformly in δ, η.

Remark: If we drop the condition (25), then the claim of Proposition 3 still holds, provided that $\delta \geq \eta \geq 0$.

We present next two examples.

Example 4. Let (X, Y) be a bivariate scale mixture random vector with stochastic representation (20) where $\rho = 0$. Consider the function z^* given by

$$z^*(x) := (1 - |x|^p)^{1/p}, \quad p \in (0, \infty), x \in [-1, 1].$$

The inverse function of $z := z^*$ is $z^{-1}(y) = (1 - y^p)^{1/p}, y \in [0, 1]$. For any $a \in (0, 1]$ the equation

$$z^{-1}(a/s) = 1/s, \quad s \in (1, \infty)$$

has the unique solution $a_\rho := (1 + a^p)^{1/p} \in (1, \infty)$. Furthermore (22) and (25) hold with $c = a^{p-1}$.
Let $W > 0$ with positive density function h being further independent of the positive random variable $R \simeq F$. If $F \in GMDA(w)$, then by Proposition 3

$$p_{a,\delta,\eta;x} \quad \sim \quad \boldsymbol{P}\{I_1 = I_2 = 1\} a_\rho^{p-2} h(1/a_\rho) \exp\Big(-\frac{\delta + a^p \eta}{1 + a^p}\Big) \frac{\overline{F}(a_\rho x)}{x w(a_\rho x)}. \tag{27}$$

Note that if $(R I_1 W, I_2 R z^*(W))$ is a generalised symmetrised Dirichlet random vector, then I_1, I_2, R, W are independent and W possesses the density function $h(x) = p x^{p-1} g(x^p)$ with g the density function of $beta(\alpha, \beta)$.

Example 5. Under the setup of Example 4, with motivation from the dependence structure of elliptical random vectors we redefine z^* as

$$z^*(x) := \rho_* \sqrt{1 - x^2}, \quad z(x) := \rho x + z^*(x), \quad \rho, x \in (-1, 1), \quad \rho_* := \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}.$$

First note that $z(\rho) = 1$ is the maximal value of $z(x)$ for any $x \in [-1, 1]$. Hence in order to apply (26) necessarily $a \in (\rho, 1]$. It can be easily checked that the assumptions of Proposition 2 are satisfied for $a_\rho := \sqrt{1 - 2a\rho + a^2}/\rho_*$, and (22) holds with $c := (a - \rho)/(1 - a\rho) \in (0, \infty)$. Note further that $a_\rho < a/|\rho|$ and also (25) holds. In view of (26) we obtain

$$p_{a,\delta,\eta,\rho;x} \quad \sim \quad \boldsymbol{P}\{I_1 = I_2 = 1\} \frac{\rho_*^2 h(1/a_\rho)}{1 - a\rho} \frac{\overline{F}(a_\rho x)}{x w(a_\rho x)} \exp\Big(-\frac{a^2 \eta + \delta - a\rho(\eta + \delta)}{\rho_*^2 a_\rho^2}\Big). \tag{28}$$

In the special case that $W^2 \simeq beta(1/2, 1/2)$ and $\boldsymbol{P}\{I_i = 1\} = 1/2, i = 1, 2$ with I_1, I_2 independent (the bivariate random vector (X, Y) is elliptical distributed) we have

$$h(1/a_\rho) \quad = \quad \frac{2 a_\rho}{\pi \sqrt{a_\rho^2 - 1}} = \frac{2 a_\rho (1 - \rho^2)}{a - \rho}.$$

Consequently (28) reduces to (5) if additionally $\delta = \eta = 0$.

4. Three Applications

Let (X, Y) be a given bivariate random vector. For some high threshold x the approximation of the joint conditional excess random vector

$$(X^{[x]}, Y^{[ax]}) := (X - x, Y - ax) | X > x, Y > ax, \quad x \in (0, \infty), \quad a \in (0, 1]$$

is of some interest in statistical applications if in particular suitable norming constants can be found so that the distribution of $(X^{[x]}, Y^{[ax]})$ can be approximated by some known distribution function.
Another interesting problem of the bivariate extreme value theory is the asymptotic independence of X and Y if both have distribution functions in some max-domain of attractions. When X and Y are asymptotically independent an interesting topic also for application (see e.g., de Haan and Ferreira (2006)) is the estimation of the residual dependence index η. In our last application we give an explicit formula for η.

In the light of our findings above we are able to discuss alternative solutions to both problems for the models of Section 2 and 3.

4.1. **Asymptotics of Conditional Excess Distribution.** We start by considering the model of Section 2. For any s, t positive and some positive scaling function w we have

$$\boldsymbol{P}\{X^{[x]} > s/w(t), Y^{[ax]} > t/w(t)\} = \frac{p_{a;s,t,x}}{p_{a;0,0,x}}, \quad x > 0.$$

If x tends to infinity the asymptotics findings of Section 2 to approximate the above ratio. More precisely, under the assumptions of Proposition 1 we have

$$\frac{p_{a;s,t,x}}{p_{a;0,0,x}} \sim \frac{J_{s,t}}{J_{0,0}}.$$

By the definition $J_{s,t}$ depends on the limit function ξ_a. Denote by (E_1, E_2) a bivariate random vector with positive components and survivor function given by $J_{s,t}/J_{0,0}, s, t \in (0, \infty)$. Then the above asymptotics can be cast into joint convergence in distributions, namely if $(X_n, Y_n), n \ge 1$ is a sequence of bivariate random vectors defined in the same probability space such that $(X_n, Y_n) \overset{d}{=} (X^{[n]}, Y^{[an]}), n \ge 1$, then we have the convergence in distributions

$$(g(n)X^{[n]}, g(n)Y^{[an]}) \quad \overset{d}{\to} \quad (E_1, E_2), \quad n \to \infty, \tag{29}$$

where the scaling function g equals w.

The limiting random vector has distribution function which clearly depends on a. Further, E_1 and E_2 can be dependent for instance in the setup of Example 3 taking $a = 1$. In the next model this joint distribution of (E_1, E_2) is a product distribution which seems to be more relevant for statistical applications.

Assume next that $(X, Y), a, a_\rho, \rho$ satisfy the assumptions of Proposition 3. For any s, t positive (26) implies (set $\bar{s} := a_\rho s, \tilde{t} := a_\rho t/a$)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\boldsymbol{P}\{X > x + s/w(a_\rho x), Y > ax + t/w(a_\rho x)\}}{\boldsymbol{P}\{X > x, Y > ax\}} \\
&= \quad \frac{\boldsymbol{P}\{X > x(1 + \bar{s}/v(a_\rho x)), Y > ax(1 + \tilde{t}/v(a_\rho x))\}}{\boldsymbol{P}\{X > x, Y > ax\}} \\
&= \quad \frac{p_{a,\bar{s},\tilde{t},\rho;x}}{p_{a;x}} \\
&\sim \quad \exp\Bigl(-\frac{a_\rho s + cata_\rho/a}{ca + 1}\Bigr) =: \exp(-sD_{a,c} - tD^*_{a,c}),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$D_{a,c} := \frac{a_\rho}{ca + 1}, \quad D^*_{a,c} := \frac{a_\rho c}{a(ca + 1)}.$$

Consequently, with $(X_n, Y_n), n \ge 1$ as defined above (29) holds with

$$g(x) = w(a_\rho x), \quad x > 0$$

and E_1, E_2 two independent exponential random variables with mean $1/D_{a,c}$ and $1/D^*_{a,c}$, respectively.

Under the setup of Example 5

$$D_{a,c} := \frac{1 - a\rho}{a_\rho(1 - \rho^2)}, \quad D^*_{a,c} := \frac{a - \rho}{a_\rho(1 - \rho^2)}.$$

Thus the convergence in distribution in (29) holds in particular if (U_1, U_2) is uniformly distributed on the unit circle of $\mathbb{R}^2$. We note that the approximation of the conditional excess distribution we do not assume a specific tail asymptotics of X and Y.

4.2. **Asymptotic Independence and Max-domain of Attraction.** A common measure of the asymptotic dependence between (X, Y) is the tail dependence function

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{\boldsymbol{P}\{G_1(X) > 1 - s/x, G_2(Y) > 1 - t/x\}}{\min(\boldsymbol{P}\{G_1(X) > 1 - s/x\}, \boldsymbol{P}\{G_2(Y) > 1 - t/x\})} := l(s,t), \quad s,t \in (0,\infty)$$

(when it exists) where G_1, G_2 are the distribution functions of X and Y, respectively. If $l(1,1) = 0$, then we say that X and Y are asymptotically independent. See for instance de Haan and Ferreira (2006), Reiss and Thomas (2007), Hüsler and Li (2009), Das and Resnick (2009), or Peng (2010) for more details concerning modelling of asymptotic independence in the context of extreme values.

We discuss briefly the asymptotic independence for scale mixture distributions with (U_1, U_2) specified by our Model A. It can be seen by Example 1 that for particular U_1, U_2 the limit $l(s,t)$ can be positive, thus asymptotic independence does not hold. However, under the setup of Example 2 (19) implies that $l(s,t) = 0, \forall s,t \in (0,\infty)$, and thus X and Y are asymptotically independent and both X and Y have distribution function in the Gumbel max-domain of attraction.

We deal next with Model B assuming that (X, Y) has stochastic representation (20) with $\rho \in [0,1)$. The case $\rho \in (-1, 0)$ follows with similar arguments.

In the following we specify the asymptotic behaviour of W and $z(W)$. Explicitly, we assume that for some $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in [0,\infty)$

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{\boldsymbol{P}\{W > 1 - s/x\}}{\boldsymbol{P}\{W > 1 - 1/x\}} = s^{\gamma_1}, \quad \lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{\boldsymbol{P}\{z(W) > 1 - s/x\}}{\boldsymbol{P}\{z(W) > 1 - 1/x\}} = s^{\gamma_2}, \quad \forall s > 0.$$

As in Example 1 applying (15) we obtain

$$\boldsymbol{P}\{RW > x\} \sim \Gamma(\gamma_1 + 1)\boldsymbol{P}\{W > 1 - 1/v(x)\}\overline{F}(x)$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{P}\{Rz(W) > x\} \sim \Gamma(\gamma_2 + 1)\boldsymbol{P}\{z(W) > 1 - 1/v(x)\}\overline{F}(x).$$

Next set $z(x) := \rho x + z^*(x) \le 1, \forall x \in [-1,1]$ and assume that $z^*(x) \le b < 1, \forall x \in [0,1]$. Applying (12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{P}\{Y > x\} &= \boldsymbol{P}\{I_1 = 1, I_2 = -1\}\boldsymbol{P}\{R(\rho W - z^*(W)) > x\} \\
&\quad + \boldsymbol{P}\{I_1 = -1, I_2 = 1\}\boldsymbol{P}\{R(-\rho W + z^*(W)) > x\} \\
&\quad + \boldsymbol{P}\{I_1 = 1, I_2 = 1\}\boldsymbol{P}\{R(\rho W + z^*(W)) > x\} \\
&\sim \boldsymbol{P}\{I_1 = 1, I_2 = 1\}\boldsymbol{P}\{Rz(W) > x\} \\
&\sim \boldsymbol{P}\{I_1 = 1, I_2 = 1\}\Gamma(\gamma_2 + 1)\boldsymbol{P}\{z(W) > 1 - 1/v(x)\}\overline{F}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\boldsymbol{P}\{X > x\} \sim \boldsymbol{P}\{I_1 = 1\}\Gamma(\gamma_1 + 1)\boldsymbol{P}\{W > 1 - 1/v(x)\}\overline{F}(x).$$

Consequently, in view of (13) both X and Y have distribution functions in the Gumbel max-domain of attraction with the same scaling function w. Let $b_i(x), i = 1,2$ be defined asymptotically by

$$b_i(x) := G_i^{-1}(1 - 1/x), \quad x > 1,$$

where G_i^{-1} is the generalised inverse of $G_i, i = 1,2$. In view of (12) we have

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{b_1(x)}{b_2(x)} = 1. \tag{30}$$

Furthermore (see e.g., Falk et al. (2004))

$$w(b_i(x))[G_i^{-1}(1 - s/x) - b_i(x)] = -\ln s, \quad \forall s \in (0,\infty). \tag{31}$$

If X, Y are such that the conditions of Proposition 2 hold, then comparing the asymptotics of $\boldsymbol{P}\{X > b_1(x/s), Y > b_2(x/t)\}$ and $\boldsymbol{P}\{X > b_1(x/s)\}, \boldsymbol{P}\{Y > b_2(x/t)\}$ we obtain utilising further (12) and (30)

$$l(s,t) = 0, \quad \forall s,t \in (0,\infty).$$

Consequently, X and Y are asymptotically independent with distribution function in the max-domain of attraction of a bivariate distribution with unit Gumbel marginals which is a product distribution.

4.3. **Residual Tail Dependence.**

Modeling of dependence and asymptotic dependence is often done in the framework of copula, where the marginal distributions are transformed. The asymptotic dependence does not change under monotone transformation of marginal distributions. For X, Y with asymptotically independent components it is of some interest to quantify the asymptotic independence in terms of some measures. Let G_1, G_2 be the distribution function of X and Y, respectively. One successful approach to model the asymptotic independence is the estimation of the residual dependence index $\eta \in (0, 1]$ (see Peng (1998,2008,2010), de Haan and Ferreira (2006), Hashorva (2010)). So if for some x, y positive

$$S_u(x,y) := \frac{\boldsymbol{P}\{G_1(X) > 1 - x/u, G_2(Y) > 1 - y/u\}}{\boldsymbol{P}\{G_1(X) > 1 - u, G_2(Y) > 1 - u\}} \to S(x,y), \quad u \to \infty,$$

then for any $c > 0$

$$S(cx, cy) = c^{1/\eta} S(x,y)$$

and the function $S_u(1,1)$ is regularly varying with index $-1/\eta$. Other authors refer to η as the coefficient of tail dependence (Ledford and Tawn (1998), Resnick (2007), Reiss and Thomas (2007)).

As mentioned above in Model A asymptotic independence is not always observed as for instance in the setup of Example 1. However, as noted above for Example 2 asymptotic independence is observed. We calculate η for that example. Denote next by $G_i^{-1}, i = 1, 2$ the generalised inverse of $G_i, i = 1, 2$. Since further $\lim_{u\to\infty} b_1(u) = \lim_{u\to\infty} b_2(u) = \infty$, by (31) we can write for any $x, y \in (0, 1)$ as $u \to \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{S_u(x,y)}{S_u(1,1)} &= \lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{\boldsymbol{P}\{G_1(X) > 1 - x/u, G_2(Y) > 1 - y/u\}}{\boldsymbol{P}\{G_1(X) > 1 - 1/u, G_2(Y) > 1 - 1/u\}} \\ &= \lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{\boldsymbol{P}\{X > G^{-1}(1 - x/u), Y > G_2^{-1}(1 - y/u)\}}{\boldsymbol{P}\{X > G_1^{-1}(1 - 1/u), Y > G_2^{-1}(1 - 1/u)\}} \\ &= \lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{\boldsymbol{P}\{X > b_1(u) - \ln x/w(b_1(u)), Y > b_2(u) - \ln y/w(b_2(u))\}}{\boldsymbol{P}\{X > b_1(u), Y > b_2(u)\}} \\ &= \lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{\boldsymbol{P}\{X > b_1(u) - \ln x/w(b_1(u)), Y > b_1(u) - \ln y(1 + o(1))/w(b_1(u))\}}{\boldsymbol{P}\{X > b_1(u), Y > b_1(u) + o(1)/w(b_1(u))\}}. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Hence by (19) we obtain

$$\lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{S_u(x,y)}{S_u(1,1)} =: S(x,y) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 1)} \int_0^\infty (t + \ln x)_+^{\gamma_1} (t + \ln y)_+^{\gamma_2} \exp(-t)\, dt. \tag{33}$$

Consequently, since for any $c > 0$ we have $S(cx, cy) = cS(x,y)$ we conclude that

$$\eta = 1.$$

We consider next Model B. Let therefore (X, Y) be as in our second application satisfying further the assumptions of Proposition 3. Since X, Y are asymptotically independent we deal next with the calculation of residual dependence index η. We assume the scaling function w (see the assumptions of our second application) is such that

$$\lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{w(cu)}{w(u)} = c^{\lambda - 1}, \quad \forall c \in (0, \infty). \tag{34}$$

Since necessarily $\lim_{u\to\infty} uw(u) = \infty$ we require further that $\lambda \in [0, \infty)$. Thus $w(x) = x^{\lambda-1} L(x)$ with L a positive slowly varying function at infinity. If $\lambda = 0$ we assume further that $\lim_{u\to\infty} L(u) = \infty$.

Case $\limsup_{u\to\infty} w(u) < \infty$:

In view of (30) we have for any $y \in (0, \infty)$

$$b_2(u) - \ln y/w(b_2(u)) = b_1(u) + o(1) - (1 + o(1)) \ln y/w(b_1(u)) = b_1(u) - (1 + o(1)) \ln y/w(b_1(u)), \quad u \to \infty.$$

Since further $\lim_{u\to\infty} b_1(u)=\infty$, (32) and (34) imply for any $x,y\in(0,1)$

$$\lim_{u\to\infty}\frac{S_u(x,y)}{S_u(1,1)} = \lim_{u\to\infty}\frac{\boldsymbol{P}\{X>b_1(u)-\alpha_\rho^{\lambda-1}\ln x/w(\alpha_\rho b_1(u)), Y>b_1(u)-\alpha_\rho^{\lambda-1}\ln y(1+o(1))/w(\alpha_\rho b_1(u))\}}{\boldsymbol{P}\{X>b_1(u), Y>b_1(u)+o(1)/w(\alpha_\rho b_1(u))\}}.$$

As in our first application we obtain

$$\lim_{u\to\infty}\frac{S_u(x,y)}{S_u(1,1)} = \exp(\alpha_\rho^{\lambda-1}[D_{a,c}\ln x+D^*_{a,c}\ln y]).$$

Consequently

$$\eta^{-1}=\alpha_\rho^{\lambda-1}[D_{1,c}+D^*_{1,c}]=\alpha_\rho^{\lambda}\frac{1+c}{(c+1)}=\alpha_\rho^{\lambda}.$$

Since $\alpha_\rho>1$, then clearly $\eta\in(0,1]$. It is interesting that η depends only on α_ρ and λ and not on c.

Case $\lim_{u\to\infty} w(u)=\infty$:

In order to calculate η we need to assume further a certain relationship between $b_1(u)$ and $b_2(u)$. In view of (30) and the assumption on w suppose further that b_2 is such that

$$\lim_{u\to\infty} w(b_2(u))[b_2(u)-b_1(u)] = \xi\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{35}$$

As above for any $x,y\in(0,\infty)$ we obtain as $u\to\infty$

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{u\to\infty}\frac{S_u(x,y)}{S_u(1,1)} &= \lim_{u\to\infty}\frac{\boldsymbol{P}\{X>b_1(u)-\alpha_\rho^{\lambda-1}\ln x/w(\alpha_\rho b_1(u)), Y>b_1(u)-(1+o(1))\alpha_\rho^{\lambda-1}(\ln y+\xi)/w(\alpha_\rho b_1(u))\}}{\boldsymbol{P}\{X>b_1(u), Y>b_1(u)+(1+o(1))\alpha_\rho^{\lambda-1}\xi/w(\alpha_\rho b_1(u))\}}\\ &= \exp(\alpha_\rho^{\lambda-1}[D_{a,c}\ln x+D^*_{a,c}\ln y]),\end{aligned}$$

hence again $\eta=\alpha_\rho^{-\lambda}\in(0,1]$. When $\lambda=0$, then $\eta=1$, otherwise we have $\eta\in(0,1)$. Note in passing that neither ξ nor c appear in the expression of the residual tail dependence η.

For statistical models estimation of η is important. In view of our derivation for this model we can estimate η by estimating first α_ρ and then λ. An estimation of λ can be obtained as in Hashorva (2010), whereas estimation of α_ρ is not as straightforward. In the more specific model of Example 5 α_ρ can be estimated if we estimate ρ. Estimation of α_ρ will be discussed in a forthcoming paper.

5. PROOFS

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1 Since R is independent of the bivariate random vector (U_1,U_2) and $U_1\le 1$ almost surely for any $\delta,\eta\in[0,\infty)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}p_{a,\delta,\eta;x} &= \boldsymbol{P}\{RU_1>x(1+\delta/v(x)), RU_2>ax(1+\eta/v(x))\}\\ &= \int_x^\infty \boldsymbol{P}\{U_1>x/r, U_2>ax/r\}\,dF(r), \quad \forall x>0.\end{aligned}$$

Let ε be a positive constant. The assumption (10) implies that for any constant $c\in(1,1+\varepsilon)$ we have $\boldsymbol{P}\{U_1> 1/c, U_2>a/c\}\in(0,1)$. In view of (12)

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{\overline{F}(\alpha x)}{\overline{F}(x)} = 0, \quad \forall\alpha\in(1,\infty) \tag{36}$$

and the fact that (U_1,U_2) is independent of R we obtain

$$p_{a,\delta,\eta;x} \sim \int_{x(1+\delta/v(x))}^{cx}\boldsymbol{P}\{U_1>x(1+\delta/v(x))/r, U_2>ax(1+\eta/v(x))/r\}\,dF(r) \tag{37}$$

$$= \int_\delta^{v(x)(c-1)} f(s,v(x),\delta,\eta)\,dF(s/w(x)+x), \tag{38}$$

with

$$f(s,v(x),\delta,\eta):=\boldsymbol{P}\Big\{U_1>\frac{1+\delta/v(x)}{1+s/v(x)}, U_2>\frac{a(1+\eta/v(x))}{1+s/v(x)}\Big\}, \quad s\ge\delta.$$

If $\delta = \eta = 0$, then (10) implies that

$$f(s,1,0,0) = \boldsymbol{P}\{U_1 > 1/(1+s), U_2 > a/(1+s)\}, \quad s > 0$$

is regularly varying at 0. By the max-domain of attraction assumption on F and (8) the result for $\delta = \eta = 0$ follows easily applying further Potters bounds (see e.g., de Haan and Ferreira (2006)) for the integrand and utilising Lemma 7.5 and 7.7 in Hashorva (2007). The general case δ or η can be established utilising the result for $\delta = \eta = 0$, (9) and the fact that $f(s, v(x), \delta, \eta) \le f(s, v(x), 0, 0), \delta, \eta \ge 0$, and thus the proof is complete. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 2 Define next

$$x_* := a_\rho x, \quad v(x) = xw(x), \quad F_x(s) := F(x^*[1 + s/v(x_*)]), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, x > 0.$$

By the independence of I_1, I_2 and RW we may write for any $x > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} p_{a;x} &= \boldsymbol{P}\{RW > x, \rho RW - z^*(W) > ax\}\boldsymbol{P}\{I_1 = 1, I_2 = -1\} \\ &\quad + \boldsymbol{P}\{RW > x, Rz(W) > ax\}\boldsymbol{P}\{I_1 = 1, I_2 = 1\} \\ &=: J_1\boldsymbol{P}\{I_1 = 1, I_2 = -1\} + J_2\boldsymbol{P}\{I_1 = 1, I_2 = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\rho \le 0$, then the fact that z^* is non-negative implies $p_{a;x} = J_2$. When $\rho \in (0,1)$ the assumptions $a/\rho > a_\rho$ yields further

$$J_1 \le \boldsymbol{P}\{RW > a/\rho x\} \le \boldsymbol{P}\{R > a/\rho x\} = \overline{F}(a/\rho x).$$

Since $z(s) \le a/a_\rho$ for any $s \in [1/a_\rho, 1]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{P}\{W > x/r, z(W) > ax/r\} &\le \boldsymbol{P}\{W > 1/a_\rho, z(W) > ax/r\} \\ &\le \boldsymbol{P}\{W > 1/a_\rho, z(W) > a/a_\rho\} \\ &= 0, \quad \forall x, r > 0, x \le r \le a_\rho x. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for any $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$

$$J_2 = \int_{a_\rho x}^{(a_\rho+\varepsilon)x} \boldsymbol{P}\{W > x/r, z(W) > ax/r\}\, dF(r) + \int_{(a_\rho+\varepsilon)x}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{P}\{W > x/r, z(W) > ax/r\}\, dF(r).$$

Since (by the assumption) the function z is decreasing and possesses an inverse function z_ε in $[1/a_\rho - \varepsilon, 1/a_\rho + \varepsilon]$ for some given $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{a_\rho x}^{(a_\rho+\varepsilon)x} \boldsymbol{P}\{W > x/r, z(W) > ax/r\}\, dF(r) \\ &= \int_0^{\varepsilon v(x_*)} \boldsymbol{P}\{W > 1/a_\rho[1 + s/v(x_*)]^{-1}, z(W) > a/a_\rho[1 + s/v(x_*)]^{-1}\}\, dF_x(s) \\ &= \int_0^{\varepsilon v(x_*)} \boldsymbol{P}\{W > 1/a_\rho[1 + s/v(x_*)]^{-1}, W < z_\varepsilon(a/a_\rho[1 + s/v(x_*)]^{-1})\}\, dF_x(s) \\ &= \int_0^{\varepsilon v(x_*)} \boldsymbol{P}\{cas/(a_\rho v(x_*))(1 + o(1)) > W - 1/a_\rho > -s/(a_\rho v(x_*))(1 + o(1))\}\, dF_x(s). \end{aligned}$$

Hence by the assumptions on W and F applying Potters bound for the integrand and utilising Lemma 7.5 and 7.7 in Hashorva (2007) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{a_\rho x}^{(a_\rho+\varepsilon)x} \boldsymbol{P}\{W > x/r, z(W) > ax/r\}\, dF(r) \\ &\sim \int_0^\infty s^{\gamma_a} \exp(-s)\, ds L_{-1/a_\rho, ca/a_\rho}(1/v(x_*)) \frac{\overline{F}(x_*)}{(v(x_*))^{\gamma_a}}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (36) a_ρ is necessarily unique, hence applying (12) as $x \to \infty$

$$p_{a;x} = \overline{F}(a/\rho) + (1 + o(1))\boldsymbol{P}\{I_1 = I_2 = 1\}\Gamma(\gamma_a + 1)L_{-1/a_\rho, ca/a_\rho}(1/v(x_*))\frac{\overline{F}(x_*)}{(v(x_*))^{\gamma_a}} + O(\overline{F}((a_\rho + \varepsilon)x)$$

$$\sim \quad \boldsymbol{P}\{I_1 = I_2 = 1\}\Gamma(\gamma_a+1)L_{-1/a_\rho, ca/a_\rho}(1/v(x_*))\frac{\overline{F}(x_*)}{(v(x_*))^{\gamma_a}},$$

and thus the result follows. □

Proof of Proposition 3 By the assumption on the density function h of W we have that (21) holds for any $K_1 < K_2, K_1, K_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\gamma_a = 1$. As in the proof above for any $\delta \in [0,\infty)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough we obtain (set $\xi := (ca\eta + \delta)/(ca+1)$)

$$\begin{aligned} p_{a,\delta,\eta,\rho;x} &= (1+o(1))\boldsymbol{P}\{I_1 = I_2 = 1\} \\ &\quad \times \int_{\xi}^{\varepsilon v(x_*)} \boldsymbol{P}\{ca(s-\eta)/(a_\rho v(x_*))(1+o(1)) > W - 1/a_\rho > (\delta - s)/(a_\rho v(x_*))(1+o(1))\}\, dF_x(s) \\ &\sim \boldsymbol{P}\{I_1 = I_2 = 1\}\int_{\xi}^{\infty} [(ca+1)s - \delta - \eta]\exp(-s)\, ds \frac{h(1/a_\rho)}{a_\rho}\frac{\overline{F}(x_*)}{v(x_*)}, \quad x \to \infty, \end{aligned}$$

hence the result follows. □

We conclude this section with the proof of (18).

For all x large and $s > 0$ by the independence of S_1 and S_2 for any $\delta_i \in [0,\infty), i = 1,2, s > 0$ we may write (set $G_{2,x}(z) := G_2(1 - z/x), s_i := s - \delta_i, s, x, z \in (0,\infty)$)

$$\begin{aligned} &\boldsymbol{P}\{U_1 > 1 - s_1/x, U_2 > 1 - s_2/x\} \\ &= \int_0^1 \boldsymbol{P}\{\lambda_i S_1 > 1 - s_i/x - \overline{\lambda_i} y, i = 1,2\}\, dG_2(y) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \boldsymbol{P}\{\lambda_i S_1 > 1 - s_i/x - \overline{\lambda_i}(1 - z/x), i = 1,2\}\, dG_{2,x}(z) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \boldsymbol{P}\{S_1 > 1 - [s_i - \overline{\lambda_i} z]/(x\lambda_i), i = 1,2\}\, dG_{2,x}(z) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^2 \overline{G}_i(1-1/x)\int_0^\infty \frac{\boldsymbol{P}\{S_1 > 1 - [s_i - \overline{\lambda_i} z]/(x\lambda_i), i = 1,2\}}{\overline{G}_1(1-1/x)}\, dG_{2,x}(z)/\overline{G}_2(1-1/x). \end{aligned}$$

The asymptotic behaviour of $\overline{G}_i, i = 1,2$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} &\boldsymbol{P}\{U_1 > 1 - s_1/x, U_2 > 1 - s_2/x\} \\ &\quad \sim \prod_{i=1}^2 G_i(1-1/x)\gamma_2 \int_0^\infty \Big(\max\Big(0, \min([s_1 - \overline{\lambda_1} z]/\lambda_1, [s_2 - \overline{\lambda_2} z]/\lambda_2)\Big)\Big)^{\gamma_1} z^{\gamma_2 - 1}\, dz. \end{aligned}$$

Now, for $\delta = \eta = 0$ we may write further

$$\begin{aligned} &\boldsymbol{P}\{U_1 > 1 - s/x, U_2 > 1 - s/x\} \\ &\quad \sim \prod_{i=1}^2 G_i(1-1/x)\gamma_2 \int_0^{\min(s/\overline{\lambda_1}, s/\overline{\lambda_2})} \Big(\min\Big[[s - \overline{\lambda_1} z]/\lambda_1, [s - \overline{\lambda_2} z]/\lambda_2\Big]\Big)^{\gamma_1} z^{\gamma_2 - 1}\, dz. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda_2 \ge \lambda_1 > 0$, for any $0 \le z \le s$

$$\frac{s}{\overline{\lambda_2}} \ge \frac{s}{\overline{\lambda_1}}, \quad \frac{s - \overline{\lambda_1} z}{\lambda_1} \ge \frac{s - \overline{\lambda_2} z}{\lambda_2}$$

hence as $x \to \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} &\boldsymbol{P}\{U_1 > 1 - s/x, U_2 > 1 - s/x\} \\ &\quad \sim \prod_{i=1}^2 \overline{G}_i(1-1/x)\gamma_2 \Big[\lambda_2^{-\gamma_1}\int_0^s (s - \overline{\lambda_2} z)^{\gamma_1} z^{\gamma_2 - 1}\, dz + \lambda_1^{-\gamma_1}\int_s^{s/\overline{\lambda_1}} (s - \overline{\lambda_1} z)^{\gamma_1} z^{\gamma_2 - 1}\, dz\Big]. \end{aligned}$$

References

[1] Berman, M.S. (1962) A low of large numbers for the maximum in a stationary Gaussian sequence. *Ann. Math. Stats.* **33**,1, 93–97.

[2] Berman, M.S. (1983) Sojourns and extremes of Fourier sums and series with random coefficients. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* **15**, 213–238.

[3] Berman, M.S. (1992) *Sojourns and Extremes of Stochastic Processes.* Wadsworth & Brooks/ Cole, Boston.

[4] Bingham, N.N., Goldie, C.M., and Teugels, J. (1987) *Regular Variation.* Cambridge University Press.

[5] Cambanis, S., Huang, S., and Simons, G. (1981) On the theory of elliptically contoured distributions. *J. Multivariate Anal.* **11**,3, 368–385.

[6] Das, B., and Resnick, S.I. (2009) Detecting a conditional extreme value model. Preprint.

[7] Dai, M., and Mukherjea, A. (2001) Identification of the parameters of a multivariate normal vector by the distribution of the minimum. *J. Theoretical Prob.* **14**,1, 267–298.

[8] Davis, R.A, and Resnick S.I. (1988) Extremes of moving averages of random variables from the domain of attraction of the double exponential distribution. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* **30**, 41–68.

[9] De Haan, L., and Ferreira, A. (2006) *Extreme Value Theory. An Introduction.* Springer.

[10] Embrechts, P., Klüppelberg, C., and Mikosch, T. (1997) *Modelling Extremal Events for Insurance and Finance.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

[11] Falk, M., Hüsler, J., and Reiss R.-D. (2004) *Laws of Small Numbers: Extremes and Rare Events.* DMV Seminar **23**, Secomd edition, Birkhäuser, Basel.

[12] Hashorva, E. (2007) Asymptotics properties of type I elliptical random vectors. *Extremes*, **10**, (4), 175–206.

[13] Hashorva, E. (2008) Tail Asymptotics for elliptical distributions. *Insurance: Mathematics & Economics*, **43**, 1, 158–164.

[14] Hashorva, E. (2009a) Conditional limiting results for Type I polar distributions. Available online *Extremes*, **12**,3, 239–263.

[15] Hashorva, E. (2009b) Conditional limits of W_p scale mixture distributions. *J. Stat. Plan. Inf.* **139**, **10**, 3501–3511.

[16] Hashorva, E. (2009c) Exact tail asymptotics of Dirichlet distributions. (*arXiv:0904.0144v1*).

[17] Hashorva, E. (20010) On the residual dependence index of elliptical distributions. *Stat. Probab. Letters*, Available online.

[18] Hashorva, E., Pakes, A.G., and Tang, Q. (2010) Asymptotics of random contractions. Submitted.

[19] Hashorva, E., and Hüsler, J. (1999) Extreme values in FGM random sequences. *J. Multivariate Anal.,* **68**,2, 212–225.

[20] Hüsler, J., and Li, D. (2009) Testing asymptotic independece in bivarite extremes. *J. Stat. Plan. Inf.* **139**, 990–998.

[21] Jessen, A.H., and Mikosch, T. (2006) Regularly varying functions. *Publications de l'Institut Mathmatique, Nouvelle Série,* **80**,94, 171–192.

[22] Lu, D., and Li, W.V. (2009) A note on multivariate Gaussian estimates. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **354**,2, 704–707.

[23] Peng, L. (2010) A practical way for estimating tail dependence functions. *Statistica Sinica*, **20**, 365–378.

[24] Manner, H., and Segers, J. (2009) Tails of correlation mixtures of elliptical copulas. *arXiv:0912.3516.*

[25] Omey, E., and Segers, J. (2009) Generalised regular variation of arbitrary order. *arXiv:0901.1468v1.*

[26] Reiss, R-D. (1989) *Approximate Distributions of Order Statistics: With Applications to Nonparametric Statistics.* Springer, New York.

[27] Reiss, R-D., and Thomas, M. (2007) *Statistical Analysis of Extreme Values. From Insurance, Finance, Hydrology and Other Fields.* Third Edition, Birkhäuser, Basel.

[28] Resnick, S.I. (2007) *Heavy-Tail Phenomena: Probabilistic and Statistical Modeling* (Springer Series in Operations Research and Financial Engineering). Springer, New York.

[29] Resnick, S.I. (2008) *Extreme Values, Regular Variation and Point Processes.* Soft cover edition, Springer, New York.

Department of Mathematical Statistics and Actuarial Science, University of Bern, Sidlerstrasse 5, CH-3012 Bern, Switzerland, enkelejd.hashorva@Stat.Unibe.ch